

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/661,495	SHOJI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Patrick T. Lewis	1623	

**All Participants:**

(1) Patrick T. Lewis.

(2) Gabriela Coman.

**Status of Application:** Final Rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 May 2005

**Time:** 2:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Rejection of claims 28-31 under 35 USC 103(a)*

Claims discussed:

28-31

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner proposed incorporating the limitations of the apparatus allowed US 6,692,703 (parent case) into method claims 28-31. Ms. Coman stated that she could not authorize such an amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)